



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,151	01/19/2001	Terry M. Turpin	509622000400	1278
25227	7590 06/28/2004		EXAM	INER
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			WILSON, ROBERT W	
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 300 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2661 DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004	. 6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/766,151	TURPIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert W Wilson	2661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reful of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will by stated and the period for reply will.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the fiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed  airty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	January 2001.					
	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	Irawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey- rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the internation of the papplication from the Internation for a line in the internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication from the Internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication from the Internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication from the Internation of the papplication for a line in the internation of the papplication of the papplication for a line in the internation of the pappl	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/</li> </ul>		p(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4&amp;5</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/766,151

Art Unit: 2661

'n.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1.0 The application of Turpin et. al. entitled "OPTICAL PROCESSOR ENHANCED RECEIVER ARCHITECTRURE (OPERA)" filed on 1/19/2001 with priority based upon 60/209,434 dated 06/02/2000. Claims 1-13 are pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2.0 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3.0 Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schilling (U.S. Patent No.: 5,719,852 which is an IDS document of record) in view of Turpin (U.S. Patent No.: 4,225,938 which is also an IDS document of record)

Referring to Claim 1, Schilling (U.S. Patent No.: 5,719,852) teaches: A multiple user communication system (Communication system per Figs 12-13 containing correlators per Figures 1-4. "multiple user" is defined only in the preamble and not in the claim; therefore, "multiple user" reflects intended use and is given no weight), comprising:

At least one receiver for receiving a plurality of signals (Fig 5 or 12 & 13 or receiver for multiple access or plurality of signals per Abstract)

At least one optical processor for optically correlating at least one of the plurality of received signals simultaneously against a plurality of hypothesized signals to generate data comprising a plurality of correlations (Figures 5 or 12 which contains correlators per Figs 1-4)

Application/Control Number: 09/766,151

Art Unit: 2661

At least one receiver algorithm for applying to the data generated by the optical processor for at least one of identifying, sorting and separating the plurality of received signals based upon the generated plurality of correlations (Figure 13)

Schilling (U.S. Patent No.: 5,719,852) does not expressly call for: optical processor but teaches interference cancelers per Figs 5 or 12 which contain correlators per Figs 1-4.

Turpin teaches optical processor or processor which performs correlation optically per Figs 1-7 which can be utilized in a large data rate applications per col. 1 lines 30-40.

It would have been obvious to add the optical processors or optical correlators of Turpin in place of the correlators of Schilling because optical correlators are less expensive and performs less calculation per Turpin per col. 1 line 1-col. 2 line 65.

Referring to Claim 9, Schilling (U.S. Patent No.: 5,719,852) teaches: A method of reducing interference (Figs 12 & 13 method, col. 2 lines 17-32 or interference) in a multipe user communication system (communication system per Figs 12-13 containing correlators per Figures 1-4. "multiple user" is defined only in the preamble and not in the claim; therefore, "multiple user" reflects intended use and is given no weight)

Receiving a plurality of signals (41 per Fig 12)

Optical correlating at least one of the plurality of received signals simultaneously against a plurality of hypothesized signals to generate data comprising a plurality of correlations (Interference Cancellers per Figs 5 or 12 which contain correlators per Figs 1-4 performs correlations on a plurality of signals)

Whereby interference among the plurality of received signals is reduced (Interference per col. 2 lines 17-32)

Schilling (U.S. Patent No.: 5,719,852) does not expressly call for: optical correlation but teaches interference cancellers which performs correlation per Figs 5 or 12 & which contain correlators per Figs 1-4.

Turpin teaches optical correlation per Figs 1-7 which can be utilized in a large data rate applications per col. 1 lines 30-40.

It would have been obvious to add the optical correlation of Turpin in place of the correlators of Schilling because optical correlation is less expensive and performs less calculations per Turpin per col. 1 line 1-col. 2 line 65.

## In Addition Turpin teaches:

Application/Control Number: 09/766,151

Art Unit: 2661

...

Regarding Claim 2, comprising a controller for providing the plurality of hypothesized signals to the optical processor (10 per Fig 1 or 20 per 2 or optical controller)

Regarding Claim 3, wherein the optical processor comprises a plurality of one-dimensional optical correlators for generating an output comprising a two dimensional correlation matrix (Fig 1 or one dimensional or Fig 2 or two dimensional)

Regarding Claim 4, comprising a converter for converting the plurality of received signals into a suitable form suitable for input to the optical processor (10 per Fig 1 or 20 per Fig 2)0

# In Addition Schilling teaches:

Regarding Claim 5 &10: wherein the receiver algorithm comprises a signal-to-noise enhancement algorithm (col. 1 lines 35-36)

Regarding Claims 6 & 11, wherein the receiver algorithm performs at least one of the multi-user detections, multipath combing and doppler compensation (The examiner takes official notice that multi-user detection is well known in the art per Verdu' entitled "Multi-User Detection" per Pgs 391-407 & Pgs 391-407 which is an IDS document of record. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the "multiple user detection" system of Verdu' in order to perform multiple access of Schilling per Abstract)

Regarding Claims 7 & 12, wherein the receiver algorithm comprises a complex multi-user receiver algorithm (The examiner takes official notice that multi-user detection is well known in the art per Verdu' entitled "Multi-User Detection" per Pgs 391-407 & Pgs 391-407 which is an IDS document of record. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the "multiple user detection" algorithm of Verdu' in order to perform multiple access of Schilling per Abstract)

Regarding Claims 8 & 13, wherein the multiple user communication system comprises a DS/SS CDMA communication system and wherein the receiver algorithm comprises a Multiple User Detection (MUD) algorithm (The examiner takes official notice that multi-user detection is well known in the art per Verdu' entitled "Multi-User Detection" per Pgs 391-407 & Pgs 391-407 which is an IDS document of record. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the "multiple user detection" system of Verdu' in order to perform multiple access of Schilling per Abstract.)

## **Drawings**

4.0 The drawings in this application are objected to by the Draftsperson as informal. Any drawing corrections requested, but not made in the prior application should be repeated in this

4

application if such changes are still desired. If the drawings were changed and approved during the prosecution of the prior application, a petition may be filed under 37 CFR 1.182 requesting the transfer of such drawings, provided the parent application has been abandoned. However, a copy of the drawings as originally filed must be included in the 37 CFR 1.60 application papers to indicate the original content.

#### Conclusion

5.0 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert W Wilson whose telephone number is 703/305-4102. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas Olms can be reached on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Robert W Wilson

Examiner

Art Unit 2661

RWW May 17, 2004

EMIG TON